



1. Let $U = \{0, 1, \dots, K - 1\}$, let $p \geq K$ be a prime number, and let $0 < t < K$. For $0 \leq a, b < p$ define

$$h_{a,b}(x) = (a \cdot x + b \bmod p) \bmod t.$$

Show that the family $\mathcal{H} = \{h_{a,b} \mid 0 < a < p, 0 \leq b < p\}$ is a universal set of hash functions from U to $T = \{0, \dots, t - 1\}$.

2. Let f and g be functions of type $U \rightarrow T$. We define (f, g) to be a function of type $U \rightarrow T \times T$ specified by $(f, g)(x) = (f(x), g(x))$.

For a set \mathcal{H} of functions of type $U \rightarrow T$ define $\mathcal{H} \star \mathcal{H}$ to be the set of functions $\{(f, g) \mid f, g \in \mathcal{H}\}$.

Prove: If \mathcal{H} is a universal set of hash functions from U to T , then $\mathcal{H} \star \mathcal{H}$ is a universal set of hash functions from U to $T \times T$.

3. One conceivable way of dealing with collisions in hashing would be to have a whole sequence of hash tables T_1, T_2, \dots, T_ℓ , each with its own hash function. If upon inserting item x in T_1 you encounter a collision, you try to insert x in T_2 , if there also is a collision there, insert x in T_3 , and so on.

Why is this not a good idea?